## FBA and BIP Technical Adequacy Tool for Evaluation (TATE): Scoring Form

District/State ID	Evaluator Date of Review IRR □ Yes □ No IRR Sco Date of FBA Date of BIP	ore:	
Directions: Score eac	ch item using the Product Evaluation Scoring Guide.  Item	Scoring Guide	Scor e
Part I. FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIOR ASSESSMENT	<ol> <li>Input is collected from multiple people/sources to complete the functional behavior assessment. Check all that apply.</li> <li>□ Student interview □ Parent interview □ Teacher interview □ Rating Scales □ Direct Observations □ Team members participating listed □ Record Review □ Efficient FBA (team meeting, ERASE, etc.) □ Other</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>0 = unable to determine</li> <li>1 = 1 source/person or list of names with no detail</li> <li>2 = two or more sources with supporting details</li> </ul>	
Data Gathering and Hypothesis Development	<ol> <li>Problem behaviors are identified and operationally defined. (Easily observable and measurable). If more than one behavior is identified, it is clear which behaviors will be the focus of the FBA</li> <li>List problem behavior(s):</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>0 = no problem behavior identified;</li> <li>1 = behaviors are identified but definitions are ambiguous or subjective</li> <li>2 = ALL identified behaviors are operationally defined.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Baseline data on the problem behaviors are collected and detailed or summarized. The data are in addition to office discipline referrals (ODR), in-school suspension (ISS), and/or out of school suspension (OSS) data.</li> <li>□Target Behavior □Method □Time Frame □Analysis</li> </ul>	1 = data collected, but omits at least one of the essential details 2 = data collected, AND includes all 4 essential	
	<ul> <li>4. Setting events (i.e., slow triggers; antecedent events that provide the context or "set the stage" for a higher likelihood of problem behavior) are considered, identified (if present) and the contingency to the problem behavior is described. List setting events (slow triggers):         <ul> <li>Distant event</li> <li>Environmental, social, or physiological events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	te the functional behavior assessment.    Color	
	<ol> <li>Antecedent events (immediate triggers) that precede and predict the occurrence of problem behavior are identified and specified.</li> </ol>	antecedents	



	List antecedents (triggers):	2 = identified AND detailed	
Component	Item	Scoring Guide	Scor e
	Antecedent events in which problem behavior is least likely to occur (or appropriate behavior is more likely to occur) are identified and specified.  List antecedents:	<ul> <li>0 = none, OR not antecedents</li> <li>1 = identified, lacks detail</li> <li>2 = identified AND detailed</li> </ul>	
	Consequences (i.e., how others respond immediately after problem behavior occurs) are identified.  List consequence(s):	<ul> <li>0 = none, OR not consequences</li> <li>1 = identified, lacks detail</li> <li>2 = identified AND detailed</li> </ul>	
	8. An identifiable hypothesis or summary statement that includes three essential components (i.e., antecedent events, behavior, function) is present and linked to the antecedent events and consequences listed in the FBA.  Check each component present in the hypothesis and the presence of its ink to the FBA data	0 = no identifiable hypothesis, OR only one component or no (zero) components linked to FBA	
	☐ Antecedent events ☐ Description of problem behavior ☐ Function of behavior	data 1 = identifiable hypothesis with 2 components linked to	
	Link: Yes/No Link: Yes/No Link: Yes/No	FBA data.  2 = includes all 3 components AND all 3 components are linked	
	<ol> <li>Function of behavior is one identified in research literature, provides specificity, and is linked to FBA data.</li> </ol>	<b>0</b> = no function identified, OR no hypothesis, OR function not in research	
	<ul> <li>□ Positive reinforcement—To get/obtain (attention, tangible, sensory stimulation)</li> <li>□ Negative reinforcement—To escape/avoid/delay (tasks, attention,, tangibles; painful/uncomfortable stimuli)</li> <li>□ Multiple functions (positive and negative reinforcement)</li> </ul>	literature 1 = function identified in research literature, not linked to FBA data. 2 = function identified in research literature, AND linked	
	FUNCTIONAL BEHAV	VIOR ASSESSMENT SCORE	/18



Component	ltem	Scoring Guide	Scor e
II. BEHAVIOR	10. Behavior plan is developed in a timely manner (e.g., within 30 days) upon completion of the FBA	<b>0</b> = no dates, OR >60 days <b>1</b> = >30 days but <60 days <b>2</b> = ≤30 days	
INTERVENTIO N PLAN	11. Hypothesis developed from the FBA is included or referenced on the behavior plan	<ul> <li>0 = no hypothesis, OR substantially different</li> <li>1 = similar (1-2 components)</li> <li>2 = identical (3 components)</li> </ul>	
	12. A minimum of one strategy that directly addresses and modifies antecedent events listed in the "when" component of the FBA hypothesis (Item 8) is identified and described in enough detail for implementation. List antecedents in hypothesis List strategy(ies):	<ul> <li>0 = none identified, OR no link with hypothesis, OR not antecedent strategies</li> <li>1 = identified, linked, NOT sufficient detail</li> <li>2 = identified, linked, AND sufficient detail</li> </ul>	
	13. A minimum of one socially valid replacement behavior that will be taught to the student is identified, linked to FBA hypothesis (item 8), and described in enough detail for implementation. List replacement behavior(s) to be taught: List intervention strategies to teach replacement behavior	<ul> <li>0 = none identified, different function, OR function not identified in research literature.</li> <li>1 = identified, linked, NOT sufficient detail</li> <li>2 = identified, linked, AND sufficient detail.</li> </ul>	
	14. A minimum of one strategy that will reinforce the replacement behavior and provide the same outcome/function as did the problem behavior is identified, and described in enough detail to implement. Function identified in hypothesis: List reinforcement strategy(ies):	<ul> <li>0 = none identified, no link,</li> <li>OR no replacement</li> <li>behavior identified</li> <li>1 = identified, linked, NOT</li> <li>sufficient detail</li> <li>2 = identified, linked, AND</li> <li>sufficient detail</li> </ul>	
	15. A minimum of one strategy that eliminates the maintaining consequences identified in the hypothesis is described with sufficient detail to implement (i.e., changes the way others respond to problem behavior). Function identified in hypothesis: List strategies:	<ul> <li>0 = none identified, OR continue to provide same outcome</li> <li>1 = identified, linked, NOT sufficient detail</li> <li>2 = identified, linked, AND sufficient detail</li> </ul>	



Component	Item	Scoring Guide	Scor e
	16. A need for a safety plan is considered, justified, and described with sufficient detail if a need is indicated.	<ul> <li>0 = not addressed OR need identified but no plan</li> <li>1 = plan but procedures unclear</li> <li>2 = specific procedures identified, OR no need for plan indicated.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>17. A specific plan for collecting monitoring data on both the problem and replacement behaviors following implementation of the behavior plan is included</li> <li>□ When/How often □ Who □ Method □ Review date</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0 = no plan, OR unable to determine</li> <li>1 = partial plan, lacks details, AND/OR does not address both problem and replacement behaviors</li> <li>2 = plan fully described AND addresses both problem and replacement behaviors.</li> </ul>	
	18. A specific plan for collecting fidelity data on BIP implementation is included □ When/How often □ Who □ Method □ Review date	<ul> <li>0 = no plan, OR unable to determine</li> <li>1 = partial plan, lacks details</li> <li>2 = plan fully described</li> </ul>	
	BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN TOTAL SCORE		/18

Feature	Score Obtained	Score Possible	Percent Obtained
I. Functional Behavior Assessment		18	
II. Behavior Intervention Plan		18	
Total Product Score		36	

